

*Here are arguments against an Article V convention (A5C). Please let **Your Elected Officials** know that we could **lose our Constitution at a runaway convention**. Short letters with links or attachments for further research work well:*

The “**Declaration of Independence**” flyer shows *why* **Delegates** to an Article V Convention have the **power to throw off the Constitution we have and set up a new one**, with a new and easier mode of ratification. And it's happened before in our nation's history!

The “**False and Reckless Assurances**” flyer shows that the **Convention of States Project's (COS) “false” and “reckless in the extreme” assurances** are contradicted by the Constitution and the Report of the Congressional Research Service.

*****State Legislatures do not have the power to control the convention!*****

"How to get a new Constitution under the pretext of proposing amendments" shows that the **Framers** understood that an Article V Convention could be used **to replace our Constitution**-- and that's how it's being used today!

The “**Brilliant Men**” flyer shows that James Madison, Alexander Hamilton, four US Supreme Court Justices, and other **jurists and scholars** warned that **Delegates** to an Article V Convention **can't be controlled!!**

Democrats only might be interested in this series of articles from Common Cause showing the dangers of an Article V convention.

*Or you may prefer to use a political argument that shows **COS** has been deceiving legislators in order to get their applications passed:*

The “**Phony Petitions & Polls**” flyer (attached) describes the **petitions & polls** used by COS to *sway legislators*, rather than measure true voter opinion. COS continually showcases *misleading statistics* to convince legislators that their constituents are demanding a "convention of states."

This **ARTICLE** explains how **COS** uses "Newspeak" to **manipulate people** into believing that the convention provided for by Article V of our Constitution is really just a “convention of states,” rather than a “constitutional convention.” They misleadingly define the former to be a convention that is controlled from start to finish by State Legislatures; but **a controlled convention is a fantasy**. In hearings and legislative chambers across the country, **COS is waging a war on semantics**—so that legislators will vote for their application.

*Let the Senators know that convention **Delegates** can't be limited by the subject or constraints of the application. **There's no such thing as a limited convention. Let's go get 'em!***